

Title: Inverse Function Sequences and Series

Author: Josh Myers

January 9, 2026

In this post, I will show a method for computing the inverse function given the original function's power series coefficients. Since having discovered the patterning yielding correct calculation, I have found a Mathworld page that highlights the rigorous equation used to complete this task. I will take a less rigorous route, but one that shows clearly the origin of the pattern highlighting the computation of the n th series term of the inverse function. To begin, first we consider a domain over on which an inverse is well-defined. Then we define the two series', where without loss of generality, we set the lowest order term equal to 0:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \\ f^{-1}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The defining equation of the inverse function is the following:

$$f^{-1}(f(x)) = x \tag{2}$$

Thus, we consider the following problem:

$$c_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k \right)^n = \delta_{n1} x^n \tag{3}$$

This problem definition is as follows: the n th power term is composed completely by lower order terms. For instance, for $n = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 a_1 x = x, &\implies c_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} \\ c_2 a_1^2 x^2 + c_1 a_2 x^2 = 0x^2, &\implies c_2 = -c_1 \frac{a_2}{a_1^2} = -\frac{a_2}{a_1^3} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Through counting the partitioned combinations of terms for $n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$, I attained the following

results:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 &= -\frac{a_3}{a_1^4} + 2\frac{a_2^2}{a_1^5} \\
c_4 &= -\frac{a_4}{a_1^5} + 5\frac{a_2 a_3}{a_1^6} - 5\frac{a_2^3}{a_1^7} \\
c_5 &= -\frac{a_5}{a_1^6} + 6\frac{a_2 a_4}{a_1^7} + 3\frac{a_3^2}{a_1^7} - 21\frac{a_2^2 a_3}{a_1^8} + 14\frac{a_2^4}{a_1^9} \\
c_6 &= -\frac{a_6}{a_1^7} + 7\frac{a_2 a_5}{a_1^8} + 7\frac{a_3 a_4}{a_1^8} - 28\frac{a_2^2 a_4}{a_1^9} - 28\frac{a_2 a_3^2}{a_1^9} + 84\frac{a_2^3 a_3}{a_1^{10}} - 42\frac{a_2^5}{a_1^{11}} \\
c_7 &= -\frac{a_7}{a_1^8} + 8\frac{a_2 a_6}{a_1^9} + 8\frac{a_3 a_5}{a_1^9} + 4\frac{a_4^2}{a_1^9} - 36\frac{a_2^2 a_5}{a_1^{10}} \\
&\quad - 72\frac{a_2 a_3 a_4}{a_1^{10}} - 12\frac{a_3^3}{a_1^{10}} + 120\frac{a_2^3 a_4}{a_1^{11}} + 180\frac{a_2^2 a_3^2}{a_1^{11}} - 330\frac{a_2^4 a_3}{a_1^{12}} + 132\frac{a_2^6}{a_1^{13}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

As the term numbers grow, a pattern emerges that is relatively straightforward to spot. First, the number of powers of a_1 in the denominator is always one greater than the sum of the powers in the numerator. As the powers of the denominator grow from a_1^{n+1} to a_1^{2n-1} , we find that the powers in the numerator increase by one each time, such that the powers of the denominator always remain one greater. Each time the numerator / denominator power increases, the sign of the term alternates. The next pattern becomes more evident in c_n as n increases. The coefficient of each term can be written as follows:

$$c_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{m=n}^{2n-2} \left(-\frac{1}{a_1} \right)^{m+1} m! \sum_{q_m=1}^{N_{mn}} \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{a_k^{p_{q_m k}}}{(p_{q_m k})!} \right) \tag{6}$$

Here, N_{mn} corresponds to the number of term combinations that give the m th power with $m-n+1$ terms. Here, $p_{q_m k}$ corresponds to the power of a_k in the term in question (for many k for a given m and q_m , $p_{q_m k} = 0$). In general, the following patterns hold true:

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= \sum_{k=2}^n k p_{q_m k} \\
n &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (k-1) p_{q_m k} \\
N_{mn} &= \prod_{t=1}^{m-n} \sum_{i_t=i_{t-1}}^{\lfloor \frac{m-\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} i_s}{m-n-t+2} \rfloor} (1)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $i_0 = 2$, $\sum_{s=1}^0 f(s) = 0$, and $\prod_{t=1}^0 f(t) = 1$. All that is left is to determine $p_{q_m k}$, which is related to the integer partition function (i.e. the combinations of a_k terms that give power n when substituting $f(x)$ into the inverse series - Equation 3). While the formal expression relating the partition function to the number and nature of combinations is difficult to define, an algorithm that reflects the thinking used to derive N_{mn} can be used to find all combinations needed to derive the $p_{q_m k}$ powers needed to compute the coefficients for each q_m term associated with each power m numerator. First, take the $m-n+1$ terms needed to make the given m th power numerator term. Make the first term a_2 . Then, if the next term is not the last, make it a_2 as well, until you arrive at

the last term, which you make $a_{m-2(m-n)} = a_{2n-m}$ - the difference between the total power m and the sum of the powers of the previous terms. You then increment the second last term by 1, and subtract 1 from the last term to make another term *if* the two previous k terms are not within 1 of each other. One then does this continuously until the absolute difference between the last factor k and the second last factor a_k is at most 1. Then go back to the third last term and repeat the process, where the terms are ascending in a_k from left to right. This algorithm can be seen on display when moving from right to left for each of the computed terms for each numerator power m in Equation 4. Then, one can construct an algorithm with this logic to compute the inverse function for any power series $f(x)$ for which an inverse is defined.

First, it is worth noting that this method can be generalized to $a_0 \neq 0 \neq c_0$, by translating the inverse horizontally by the amount that the original function is translated vertically (that is, if $g(x) = g(0) + f(x)$, then $g^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x - g(0))$). Also, there is a problem of if $a_1 = 0$, such as in $f(x) = 1 - \cos(x)$. In this case, this means that $f(0) = 0$, and $f'(0) = 0$, such that there is an extrema at $x = 0$. This means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (f^{-1}(x))' = \infty$ (though noteably, this is sloppy use of limit notation). Nonetheless, the idea is simple: $\frac{\partial f^{-1}(f(x))}{\partial f(x)} = \frac{1}{f'(x)}$. So in this example, $f(x)$ has $f^{-1}(x)$ that is a diverging power series (or more simply, can be written as a non-integer power series) - in fact, every derivative of the inverse function diverges at $x = 0$, except the inverse itself. There is a simple reason for this - two algorithmic points become important:

- One can use the differential method to derive the inverse function - let $y = 1 - \cos(x)$. Then:

$$\frac{\partial f^{-1}(y)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\sin(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y(2-y)}} \implies f^{-1}(y) = \arccos(1-y) \quad (8)$$

as expected.

- The $f^{-1}(x) = \arccos(1-x)$ solution represents a power series that cannot be written in a converging way purely in terms of powers of x . To see why this is the case:

$$\begin{aligned} \arccos(1-x) &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \frac{(1-x)^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{k} (-x)^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} x \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{2n+1} \left(\frac{(2n)! (2n)_{k-1}}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The coefficient of every power of x in Equation 9 diverges, but the series as a whole converges for all values of $|1-x| < 1$. However, writing down the inverse series as a series inversion is not feasible, as the series inversion tells one nothing about *how* the coefficient of each term diverges (which determines precisely how the terms will sum into each other (and cancel) to produce a finite value). Thus, the differential method (rather than the series inversion method) must be used to find the inverse when $a_0 = a_1 = 0$ for the original function.

One idea remains that I would like to bring attention to: what is the long-term behaviour of the sequence resulting from having a function consisting of a single polynomial power, inverting it, taking the derivative, taking absolute value, then inverting again, then taking the derivative again, then taking absolute value, and so on. For example, consider $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ (the absolute value

notation will be omitted, but *remember* it is there). Then, $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Then, $(f^{-1}(x))' = \frac{1}{x^2}$, and $((f^{-1}(x))')^{-1} = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $((f^{-1}(x))')^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $((f^{-1}(x))')^{-1} = (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{2}{3}}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ etc. The r th term is:

$$\omega_r = \frac{\prod_{\ell=3}^{r-1} F_{\ell}^{\frac{F_{\ell-1}}{F_{\ell+1}}}}{(F_r x)^{\frac{F_r}{F_{r+1}}}} \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\omega_r)_{x \rightarrow 1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{\ell=3}^{r-1} F_{\ell}^{\frac{\sqrt{5}F_{\ell-1}}{\phi^{r+1}}}}{\left(\frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}} \approx 0.45904038$$

where ω_r is the r th inverse function in the sequence, F_r is the r th Fibonacci Number ($F_r = 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots$), where for large r , $F_r \approx \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}}$, where $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.61$ is the golden ratio. The second line constant was computed by MATLAB. This constant emerges from the sequence assuming a starting power of $a = -1$, for $f(x) = x^a$. More sequence starting points will be investigated in future posts. Critically, the power of x approaching the golden ratio as r becomes large is intuitive because $\phi = \frac{1}{\phi-1}$, such that taking the derivative and then the inverse makes no change to the power of x . The Fibonacci Numbers arise since taking the derivative and inverting gives a power for the next derivative of $p_r = -\frac{1}{F_{r-1}+1} = -\frac{F_r}{F_{r+1}}$, thus the power evolution sequence propagates within the Fibonacci Numbers as $F_{r+1} = F_r + F_{r-1}$.

This idea represents something that I have generalized to a function of a variable starting power of the initial function. Define $\omega_0 = x^{-a}$, such that $\omega_1 = a^{\frac{1}{a+1}}x^{-\frac{1}{a+1}}$, $\omega_2 = a^{\frac{1}{a+2}}\left(\frac{1}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{a+1}{a+2}}x^{-\frac{a+1}{a+2}}$, and $\omega_3 = a^{\frac{1}{2a+3}}\left(\frac{1}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{a+1}{2a+3}}\left(\frac{a+1}{a+2}\right)^{\frac{a+2}{2a+3}}x^{-\frac{a+2}{2a+3}} = a^{\frac{1}{2a+3}}(a+1)^{\frac{1}{2a+3}}((a+2)x)^{-\frac{a+2}{2a+3}}$. This pattern emerges to:

$$\omega_r = \frac{a^{\frac{1}{F_r a + F_{r+1}}} \prod_{\ell=3}^r \left((F_{\ell-2}a + F_{\ell-1})^{\frac{F_{\ell-3}a + F_{\ell-2}}{F_r a + F_{r+1}}} \right)}{((F_{r-1}a + F_r)x)^{\frac{F_{r-1}a + F_r}{F_r a + F_{r+1}}}}, r > 0 \quad (11)$$

This equation is directly corresponding to Equation 10 but with $r \rightarrow r+1$ - put differently, Equation 10 applies for $r = 0$, while Equation 11 applies only for $r \geq 1$ (assuming that $\omega_0 \geq x^{-a}$ and $F_0 = 0$). Numerical programming suggests that the constant (which is independent of the value of $a > 0$, at least for $|a| < 10$) for the limit is:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \omega_r |_{x \rightarrow 1} = 0.4590403846822 \quad (12)$$

To be analytical, assume that $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $a \sim \frac{1}{r}$ - then, take $F_r \rightarrow \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}}$:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega_r \left(a \rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)_{x \rightarrow 1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{5}r}{\phi^r + r\phi^{r+1}}} \prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{F_{\ell-2}}{r} + F_{\ell-1} \right)^{\frac{F_{\ell-3} + rF_{\ell-2}}{\phi^r + r\phi^{r+1}}\sqrt{5}} \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{\phi^{r-1}}{\sqrt{5}r} + \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \right)^{\frac{\phi^{r-1} + r\phi^r}{\phi^r + r\phi^{r+1}}}} \quad (13)$$

Manipulating the product:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega_r \left(a \rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)_{x \rightarrow 1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\phi^{r+1}}} \prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{F_{\ell-2}}{r} + F_{\ell-1} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{5}F_{\ell-2}}{\phi^{r+1}}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\phi^{r-1}}{\sqrt{5}r} + \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}} \quad (14)$$

Evaluating:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\phi^{z+1}}} = e^{-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\phi} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\ln(z)}{\phi^z} \right)} = e^{-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\phi} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{z \ln(\phi) \phi^z} \right)} = 1 \quad (15)$$

Carrying out this operation and some other minor revisions, we get:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega_r \left(a \rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)_{x \rightarrow 1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{F_{\ell-2}}{r} + F_{\ell-1} \right)^{F_{\ell-2}} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\phi^{r+1}}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\phi^{r-1}}{\sqrt{5}r} + \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}} \quad (16)$$

Now, if we presume that the only terms that matter in the product are those for which $F_\ell \approx \frac{\phi^\ell}{\sqrt{5}}$, then we get:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega_r \left(a \rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)_{x \rightarrow 1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{\phi^{\ell-2}}{r\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\phi^{\ell-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\phi^{r-1}}{r\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}} \quad (17)$$

Rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega_r \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \right)_{x \rightarrow 1} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{\phi^{\ell-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r\phi} \right)^{\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\left(\frac{\phi^{\ell-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(1 + \frac{\phi^{\ell-r-4}}{r} \right) \left(\frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\phi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r\phi^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\ell=3}^r \left(\phi^{(\ell-1)\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(\frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\phi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r\phi^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\phi^{\sum_{\ell=3}^r (\ell-1)\phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\sum_{\ell=3}^r \phi^{\ell-r-3}} \left(\frac{\phi^r}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\phi}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\phi^{\frac{1}{\phi^{r+2}} \left(-(\phi^2-2\phi)\text{Li}_{-1}(\phi) + \frac{\phi^r(r\phi-r-\phi)}{(\phi-1)^2} - \frac{r}{\phi} \right)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\phi^{r+2}} \frac{\phi^2-\phi^r}{\phi-1} - \frac{1}{\phi}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\phi^{r \left(\frac{\phi-1-\frac{\phi}{r}-\phi(1-\phi)^2}{\phi^2(1-\phi)^2} \right)} \left(\sqrt{5} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\phi^2(\phi-1)} + \frac{1}{\phi}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi(1-\phi)^2}} = (\phi-1)^\phi = 0.4590403846822343 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which corresponds nicely to the numerical result provided in Equation 12, presumably within numerical error. However, there is one concept to still be worked out - it is the numerical observation that Equation 11 seems to be independent of a . This observation allows for the result in Equation 18 to work out as an expression of the product value for *all* values of a . The idea for how to prove this alludes me currently. This concludes this blog post.